

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,083	03/01/2002	Ian Richard Joseph Bates	041618-0060	9636
22204 75	04 7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			KING, BRADLEY T	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	•

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/980,083	BATES, IAN RICHARD JOSEPH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bradley T. King	3683			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONAISONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2006.	· ·			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-13 is/are pending in the app	plication.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	ս (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other:			formal Patent Application			
•		· 				

Application/Control Number: 09/980,083

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 8, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/17493.

WO 98/17493 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims including: a control system having one or more inputs indicative of a vehicle operating state, and an output for determining whether a compressor is on-load or of-load, the system further including target means to calculate a target pressure (P min, Pint, P max) for a reservoir downstream of said compressor, said output being responsive to said target means, wherein the target pressure changes within the throttle off mode (either p max or p int is selected depending on braking probability at step 42) and is higher (up to Pmax, box 20) during throttle-off modes than throttle-on modes (limited to Pmin). Note the selection of the target pressure is carried out in real time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/980,083

Art Unit: 3683

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/17493.

Regarding claim 4, WO 98/17493 discloses all the limitations of the instant claim with exception to the higher target pressure being 8-10% higher. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the appropriate target pressures of WO 98/17493 through routine calculation and/or experimentation to determine the optimum values for a particular compressed air system, thereby enhancing the performance of the system. Also note, *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claim 5, note p max.

Regarding claims 9-10, note page 5, lines 5-15.

Regarding claim 11, note p max.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the "target means to calculate", it is maintained that the selection of different limit values disclosed by the reference reads upon the recited "target means to calculate a target pressure" as broadly defined by the claims. Note the system determines or ascertains which values to use based on the detected operating states of

Page 4

the system (sensed vehicle conditions). The selection of the target pressure is carried out in real time. Also note limitations are read in light of the disclosure. The instant disclosure fails to elaborate on any calculation. Instead, selection of predetermined levels (such as done by the prior art) is implied. Note page five of the instant disclosure states; "Next the engine is started, and is idling. The pressure target is immediately set at the normal level; in this embodiment just above 9 bar" and "Next, in the overrun mode, the illustrated target pressure is increased to nearly 10 bar. The higher target pressure is set according to system requirements, and may be more or less than 10 bar." Page 6 describes "In the next idling mode the pressure target reduces again to the normal level". It is not clear how the "calculation" of the instant disclosure differs from the mode of operation of WO 98/17493. It is maintained that the rejections are proper.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-7117. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571) 272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BTK

BRADLEY KING
PATENT EXAMINER